



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,284	02/19/2002	Hideo Ando	219507US2S DIV	2763
7 Š	90 07/08/2003			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615 DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CX/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/076,284	ANDO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUY T NGUYEN	2615
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may in. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>4/16/2003</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement	
Application Papers	mazor election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received ir	n Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	e provisional application has	s been received.
Attachment(s)	,,	5
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	B) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 12

Application/Control Number: 10/076,284

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 27 -30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,389,222 in view of Kashiwagi et al(6,526,226).

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claims 27 –30 of the present application and claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,389,222 is that claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,389,222 does not recited picture size and start address of a video object as being recite in claims 27-28 of the present application. However, it is noted that providing information indicating a start of a video object unit and a size of a picture is known and taught by Saeki. Saeki teaches and recording/ reproducing apparatus for recording control information with video object information, the control information

Application/Control Number: 10/076,284 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

indicating a first picture and a size for picture (fig. 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill I the art to modify claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,389,222 with Saeki by using the teaching of Saeki for providing the information indicating a first still picture and a size for a picture as control information to claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,389,222 thereby allowing accurately accessing and processing the picture information and to produce claims 27-30 of the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 21-23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 21-23 and 27 are directed to stored information on a medium. Sine the information does not provide any functional inter-relationship with the medium so that the information can be read out and control the medium to access the stored information, or impart with software or hardware structural components to provide certain function that is processed by a computer the stored information does not make it statutory. See MPEP2100.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

. Application/Control Number: 10/076,284

Art Unit: 2615

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (6,242,499) in view of Kashiwagi et al (6,526,226).

Regarding claims 21-26, Kim discloses an recording/ reproducing apparatus (Figs. 2, 3, column 2, 4,5 for recording and reproducing video objects and control information on and from a optical disk. The control information comprises a search pointer for describes an address of a video group information.

Kim further teaches the still objects having associated audio information but fails to teaches an entry including audio play back time information. However, it is noted that utilizing play back time information for a video object is well known the art as taught by Kashiwagi (Fig. 5). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kim with Kashiwagi by providing play back type information as taught by

Art Unit: 2615

Kashiwagi as an entry information t the medium of Kim thereby accurately control the timing between the of the still picture object and audio .

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone

, Application/Control Number: 10/076,284

Art Unit: 2615

Page 6

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to 2600 TECH CENTER customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

H.N June 30, 2003 HUYNGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER